

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/091,567	03/07/2002	Jonathan P. Wong	NEL-006	7851
23353 7:	590 12/16/2002			
RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC LION BUILDING 1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501			EXAMINER	
			HILL, MYRON G	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648 DATE MAILED: 12/16/2002	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
·	Applicati n N . Applicant(s)					
0.55	10/091,567	WONG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Myron G. Hill	1648				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears n the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
, _	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	n					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1- 7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-7 are under consideration in this office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2- 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 2 it is not clear what "directed to the induction of mucosal immunity" means, is it different in scope over claim 1 or is this meant to indicate intended use? In claim 3, how is it targeted and is this different from the vaccine in claim 2. It is not clear that claims 2 and 3 further limit claim 1.

In claim 4, it is not clear what a plasmid comprising a "transfer vector" is or if some specific construct is meant and if "adapted for transformation" means something more than the art known uses of plasmids to transform *E. coli* and propagating DNA in *E. coli*. In claim 5, it is not clear if pCI-HA10 is the starting material or end product, what "re-amplifying" means or if some additional starting material is needed to begin the cloning process, where the HA gene comes from, and is a specific clone obtained at the finish of the process?

Claims 6 and 7 provide for the use of a liposome-encapsulated DNA vaccine, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is

Art Unit: 1648

unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced. The phrase in claim 7 beginning with "wherein" is considered to be part of the preamble and is parenthetical.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the dat of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sha.

Art Unit: 1648

Sha teaches a DNA vaccine using an influenza HA gene that is encapsulated in liposomes and that it produces a mucosal immunity as show by the IgA antibody response (pages 21- 22Figure 1, page 27 last paragraph- page 28, first paragraph).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sha and Promega Catalog.

Sha as discussed above teaches a DNA vaccine comprising an expressible HA gene in a vector that contains a CMV promoter.

Sha does not teach plasmid pCl as a plasmid to clone the HA gene into.

Promega teaches pCI plasmid with a CMV promoter and enhancer, that it shows strong constitutive expression in many cell types and has a T7 promoter for *in vitro* translation. The plasmid is known and has been used in prior art publications.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would known the need to use a promoter that expresses in mammalian cells. The CMV promoter is well known in the art to have this ability. It would have been obvious to use the plasmid, pCl, to clone the HA gene (from influenza A/PR/8/34, Sha used the same strain as Applicant but the use of other HA genes would be a choice of experimental conditions and able to be determined by one of ordinary skill in the art) as a modification of the Sha plasmid

Art Unit: 1648

. - . -

because pCl offers strong constituative expression in many cell types and has a T7 promoter for *in vitro* translation.

Thus, it would have been *prima facie* obvious to construct a plasmid for expression *in vitro* and *in vivo* of the HA gene of influenza in pCl with the expectation of success.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Myron G. Hill whose telephone number is 703-308-4521. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-6pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 703-308-4247. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Art Unit: 1648

Myron G. Hill Patent Examiner December 13, 2002

MARY E. MOSHER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800